

One Year Post KAS - A Tale of Two Transplant Centers: Small Program

Steven R. Potter, M.D., FACS Program Director, Transplant Chair, Division of Transplantation East Texas Medical Center



FEBRUARY 25-27, 2016 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

• I have no relevant financial relationships to disclose



CUTTING EDGE OF TRANSPLANTATION 2016 **RESOLVING THE ORGAN SHORTAGE** PRACTICE | © POLICY | © POLITICS

CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Introduction



Tyler is located in Northeast Texas.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Introduction



Small town, small program. Nice people, great patients!



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION CUTTING EDGE OF TRANSPLANTATION 2016 **RESOLVING THE ORGAN SHORTAGE** PRACTICE | POLICY | POLITICS

Introduction



Obligatory hospital photo.



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION CUTTING EDGE OF TRANSPLANTATION 2016 RESOLVING THE ORGAN SHORTAGE PRACTICE | POLICY | POLITICS

CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Waiting List





Variance Elimination

- All variances were eliminated on activation of the new KAS
- Disclaimer: Situation not representative of most programs,
- Loss of our variance (Alternate Listing Unit) decreased our candidates access to deceased donor kidneys.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Shots Across the Bow

- The first two (anecdotal) red flags
- We did <u>7</u> deceased donor transplants in November 2014
- We did <u>0</u> deceased donor transplants in December 2014
- The last deceased donor transplant recipient we did Pre-KAS had been on dialysis for only <u>9 months.</u>
- The first deceased donor transplant recipient we did Post-KAS had been on dialysis for <u>283 months.</u>





CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Deceased Donor Transplant Volume





CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Average Pre-Transplant Dialysis Time (months)



Pre-KAS 12/3/13-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15, center data.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Pre-Transplant Dialysis Time >5 years



Pre-KAS 12/3/13-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15; center data.



Pre-Transplant Dialysis Time >15 years



% Recipients with Pre-Transplant Dialysis Time > 180 mo.

Pre-KAS 12/3/13-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15, center data.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Transplantation of Older Candidates



Pre-KAS 12/3/12-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15; center data.



Ethnicities of Transplant Recipients: Pre-KAS

49%

16%

35%





Hispanic, 16%

Recipient Ethnicity Pre-KAS (12/3/2012 – 12/3/2014), center data.





Recipient Ethnicity Post-KAS (12/4/2014 - 12/4/2015), center data.









(Pre-KAS 12/3/13-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15), center data.





% Recipients Experiencing Delayed Graft Function

Pre-KAS 10/8/08-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15, center data.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Length of Stay



Pre-KAS 12/3/12-12/3/14, Post-KAS 12/4/14-12/4/15; center data.



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Conclusions

- Loss of our variance likely contributed to a large apparent decrease in access to deceased donor organs for the patients we serve.
- One might surmise that equity was compromised for ESRD patients in the communities we serve because of loss of the variance.
- This was viewed by architects of KAS as an insignificant local sacrifice for the greater good.



CUTTING EDGE OF TRANSPLANTATION 2016 **RESOLVING THE ORGAN SHORTAGE** PRACTICE | © POLICY | © POLITICS

CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Conclusions

Observed changes were consistent with the goals of KAS:

- Average dialysis time at transplant increased .
 A direct result of dialysis time counting as waiting time.
- Highly sensitized recipients were transplanted more frequently.
- The ethnic composition of the recipient pool changed dramatically
 - The % of recipients with AA ethnicity increased 41%
 - The % of recipients with W ethnicity fell 80%
- Transplants into candidates >64 years old fell 90%



CEOT 2016: One Year Post KAS – Small Program Conclusions

- Outcome changes:
- Post-transplant length of stay did not increase:
 Likely because recipient age decreased
- The delayed graft function rate may have risen:
 - Donor issues (CIT) and recipient issues (dialysis time, CPRA) likely primary drivers of observed increase.
- Outcome changes yet to be evaluated:
- Graft and patient survival data needed.





