Overview of Donor Consensus Conference, May 1 2015 at American Transplant Congress

Jon Kobashigawa, MD Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

> DSL/Thomas D. Gordon Professor of Medicine Director, Advanced Heart Disease Section Director, Heart Transplant Program Associate Director, Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute Associate Director, Comprehensive Transplant Center

CUTTING EDGE OF TRANSPLANTATION RESOLVING THE ORGAN SHORTAGE PRACTICE POLICY POLICY POLITICS

FEBRUARY 25-27, 2016 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Disclosures

I have the following relationships to disclose: Research grants, advisory boards, speaker honoraria

Name of Companies with which relationship exists: Transmedics Inc., CareDx Inc., Novartis



Pre-conference survey

- Questions to participants relating to their center's current approach to donor selection and management
 - Sizing of donor as compared to recipient
 - Donor risk factors and their perceived importance
 - Donor management strategies
- 47 respondents, each from a different center
- 11 UNOS regions represented
- Balanced mix of small, medium and large volume centers for both transplant and MCS



Sizing of donor/recipient

- 58% believed that oversize donors are needed for recipients with pulmonary hypertension, 42% disagree.
- 57% of respondents place most importance on height in donor to recipient ratio, while 43% place most importance on weight
- For female donor heart to male recipient, 46% would oversize the donor, 48% believe no oversizing is necessary and 6% would accept an undersized heart.



Perceived importance of donor risk factors

- Asked what level of left ventricular hypertrophy would cause them to reject a heart, assuming no other mitigating circumstances, 21% chose >1.2cm, 45% chose >1.3cm, 21% chose >1.4cm and 13% chose >1.5cm.
- Asked what expected ischemia time would cause them to reject a heart, assuming no other mitigating circumstances, 34% said >4 hours, 34% said >5 hours, 30% said >6 hours and 2% said >7 hours.
- Asked what LVEF level would cause them to reject a heart, assuming no other mitigating circumstances, 21% said ≤40%, 30% said ≤45%, and 49% said ≤50%.



Perceived importance of donor risk factors (continued)

- Asked what an unacceptable downtime (administration of CPR duration) would be for acceptance of a donor heart, 20% said >20minutes, 38% said >30 minutes, 23% said >40 minutes, and 20% said >60 minutes.
- 34% of respondents require donor hearts to be off inotropes to proceed to transplant, 66% do not require this.
- 38% of respondents routinely use older donors (>50 years) for older recipients (>60 years) at their program, 62% do not.



Donor management strategies

- 53% of respondents normally request the use of thyroid hormone to optimize donor heart function, 47% do not.
- 22% of respondents normally request the use of corticosteroids to optimize donor heart function, 78% do not.



Key points: debunking myths in donor selection

- Oversizing is not necessarily needed for recipients with pulmonary hypertension, but undersizing should be avoided. Cases should be assessed on an individual basis.
- Oversizing is not necessarily needed for female donors to male recipients, and should be assessed on case-by-case basis
- Regarding parameters to assess "oversizing" or "undersizing", LV mass index should be considered in conjunction with height and weight.
- Younger donor age with good graft function is a factor that should generally be prioritized above all other risk factors.
- There is no unacceptable "downtime" for a heart if echogrardiographic function and other factors are favorable
- Low dose inotrope use on the donor heart is acceptable to proceed to transplant, however, use of norepinephrine, epinephrine and/or multiple inotropes should be viewed with caution. Vasopressors are acceptable.



Key risk factors to be considered in donor selection: by tier of importance

Donor Risk Factors	Recipient Risk Factors
MOST IMPORTANT	MOST IMPORTANT
Older Age	Older age
Left ventricular function	Congenital heart disease as etiology of heart failure
Presence of LVH	Severe organ dysfunction (as reflected by elevated creatinine or total bilirubin)
Cold Ischemic time	
Distance from transplanting center	
High inotrope use	lemporary circulatory support, especially if complicated
	Mechanical ventilation
	Amyloid
IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT
Gender mismatch (female to male)	Redo heart transplant?
Hepatitis C serology	Sensitization level of patient
Pre-existing coronary artery disease	
Malignancy as cause of death	

