

CMS TRANSPLANT PROGRAM QUALITY WEBINAR SERIES

Writing an Effective Transplant Plan of Correction



Eileen Willey, MSN, BSN, RN, CPHQ, HACP James Ballard, MBA, CPHQ, CPPS, HACP

QAPI Specialists/Quality Surveyor Educators (QSE's)/Transplant Surveyors

Enhancing Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Programs in Transplant Programs and Hospitals

December 2, 2015

CMS Webinar Series Transplant Centers



- 1. Introduction to the Transplant QAPI: Regulatory Overview
- 2. Worksheet Overview
- 3. Comprehensive Program and 5 Key Aspects of QAPI
- 4. Objective Measures
- 5. Performance Improvements
- 6. Adverse Events
- 7. Transplant Adverse Event "Thorough Analysis"
- 8. QAPI Tools (part 1)
- 9. QAPI Tools (part 2)
- 10. Data display

11. Writing an Effective Transplant Plan of Correction

12. Interpretive Guidelines

Learning Objectives



Upon completion of this session, the participant will be able to:

- 1. Recognize the components of the Form CMS-2567
- 2. Describe the process for responding to a CMS Statement of Deficiencies
- 3. Identify the requirements for an acceptable plan of correction (PoC)
- 4. Review common errors associated with an unacceptable Plan of Correction
- 5. Review some transplant-specific PoC examples





- On-site transplant surveys
 - Initial certification survey
 - Re-approval survey
 - Complaint survey
 - Outcomes Non-compliance
- Organ programs surveyed separately



- Form CMS-2567 is the official document that communicates the determination of compliance or noncompliance with Medicare Conditions of Participation for any survey
- It is the same form any program uses to submit a plan of correction
- <u>The completed Form CMS 2567 is an official, legal</u> record, available to any member of the public upon request
- The blank form is available at: <u>www.cms.gov/Medicare/CMS-Forms/CMS-Forms/Downloads/CMS2567.pdf</u>





| | OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES | | | | | | FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391 | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION | | | IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. | | (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING B. WING | (X3) DATE SURV | (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED | |
| NAME OF FACILITY | | | STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE | | | | | |
| (X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIE PREFIX (EACH DEFICIENCY SHOULD BE PRECEDED E TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORM. | | FULL PREFIX | | CROS | PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| for further instru | statement ending with an asterisk (°) denotes a deficiency which the in <i>lations.</i>) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are dis isclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made av | sclosable 90 d | days following the da | te of survey w | hether or not a plan of correction is provided. Fo | r nursing homes, the abov | e findings and plans of | |
| | RY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESE | | | TITLE | | (X6) DAT | | |
| FORM CMS- | 2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete EF 11/2004 | | | | lf c | ontinuation sheet Page | e of | |



- Form CMS-2567: used whether program is compliant or noncompliant
- If compliant, explicit statement of compliance

Example: "Based on this transplant survey, there were no deficiencies found..."

• If not compliant, includes corresponding citations of noncompliance

Example: "This facility's (organ) program did not meet these Conditions of Participation based on..."





• All deficiencies on the Form CMS-2567 require a PoC

• Response is required within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the CMS Regional Office notice

• NOTE: This is <u>CALENDAR</u> days - make sure to document the date of receipt



 The Form CMS-2567 is sent by your CMS Regional Office to whomever is listed as your program representative on the transplant program quarterly report (TPQR)

CMS Transplant Program Quarterly Report

| Hospital's CMS Certification | 123456 | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Hospital Name: | ABC Medical Cente | er | |
| Address: | 789 Hope Ave. | City: | Anywhere |
| State: | XX 54321 | | |
| Hospital Representative: Ms | . Mary Sunshine | \supset \square | |





 The corrective action implementation dates must be no later than 45 days from the date of notice by the CMS Regional Office (RO)

 Note: This is <u>45 DAYS FROM DATE OF CMS</u> <u>RO LETTER that accompanies the Form CMS-</u> <u>2567</u>



| 1 | STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION | | OVIDER/SU ENTIFICATIO | |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------|-------|
| NAME OF FA | CILITY | STREE | T ADDRESS, CIT | Υ, |
| (X4) ID PREFIX TAG | SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCI (EACH DEFICIENCY SHOULD BE PRECEDED I REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORM | BY FULL | ID PREFIX TAG | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| I | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| for further instruc | atement ending with an asterisk (") denotes a deficiency which the fions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are closable 14 days fellowing the date these documents are made. | disclosable 90 | days following the da | ite o |

- Each cited deficiency and corrective action should be preceded by the identification (ID) prefix "tag."
- The ID prefix tag is a reference label identified by CMS to specify components of conditions and standards.

[•] Transplant: "X" tags





LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATUR

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete EF 11/2004

Summary Statement of Deficiencies

Each cited deficiency should be followed by full identifying information [e.g., transplant-specific Conditions of Participation at 42 CFR §482.68 through 482.104]



Plan of Correction

- Describe the facility's plan for corrective action and the anticipated time of correction (an explicit date must be shown)
- Completion date and corrective action must be appropriate to the level of the deficiency(ies)
- Must include the individuals responsible for the actions to be implemented
- <u>NOTE: This date must occur</u> within 45 days of the date of the letter (NOT the receipt date)



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN BERVIC

NAME OF FACILIT

(X4) ID

PREFIX

TAG

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID BERVICE

STATEMENT OF DE AND PLAN OF CO

SUMMARY STA

(EACH DEFICIENCY S

REGULATORY OR LS



Authorized Signature

- This form must be signed and dated by the provider's designated leadership representative
- The original, with the facility's proposed corrective action, must be returned to the CMS Regional Office within 10 days of receipt
- Please maintain a copy for your records

| Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may b for other instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 00 day correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the fa | ys following the d | ate of survey whether or not a plan | of correction is provided. For nursing homes, | the above findings and plans of |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S S | SIGNATURE | TITLE | (X | (6) DATE |
| FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete EF 11/2004 | | | If continuation she | et Page of |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Structure of a Deficiency Citation: Example 1



482.96(b)(1) ADVERSE EVENTS Tag X102 AHO

A transplant center must establish and implement written policies to address and document adverse events that occur during any phase of an organ transplantation case. The policies must address, at a minimum, the process for the identification, reporting, analysis, and prevention of adverse events.

This Standard is not met as evidenced by:

Based on policy review and staff interview, it was determined that the AHO program's staff did not have a written transplant-specific Adverse Event policy to address the process for the identification, reporting, analysis and prevention of adverse events.

Findings:

1. A review on 05/22/15 of the AHO program's document, "Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Plan" dated 04/01/14 did not address a process for the identification, analysis or prevention of adverse events during any phase of organ transplantation or donation. There were no other documents presented for review.





Deficient Practice Statement



Relevant Findings or Evidence

Structure of a Deficiency Citation (continued)



- The surveyor provides the documented reasons for non-compliance based on survey findings
- In the plan of correction, each deficiency must be addressed separately and "stand alone"
- Citations may be cross referenced but the PoC response must still be documented for each tag





An acceptable PoC must contain the following elements:

- The plan of correcting the specific deficiency
- The procedure for implementing the acceptable PoC for each specific deficiency cited
- The monitoring procedure
- The completion date for the implementation
- The title of the person responsible for implementing the acceptable PoC

Condition Level vs. Standard Level Deficiency



- Condition Level
 - Acceptable PoC prior to revisit
 - Requires revisit prior to termination date
- Standard Level
 - Acceptable PoC
 - No revisit required

Recap: The PoC Process



- A Plan of Correction (PoC) is required for all deficiencies cited
- The provider has ten calendar days from the date of the notification letter detailing how it will correct deficiencies
- Completion dates are not to exceed 45 calendar days from the date of the notification letter
- PoCs:
 - reviewed by the CMS Central (CO), Regional Office (RO) representatives
 - accepted or rejected based on the adequacy of the provider's written response

After citation, consider the cause(s):



- Findings describe the evidence, not the cause
- Correcting the deficiency may or may not ensure the underlying cause has been addressed
- As a program, ask yourselves: What systems improvements are needed to ensure a lasting "fix"?

Example 2: Adverse Events (



| | <u> </u> |
|---------|---|
| (X4) ID | SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES |
| PREFIX | (EACH DEFICIENCY SHOULD BE PRECEDED BY FULL |
| TAG | REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) |
| X 102 | 482.96(b)(1) ADVERSE EVENTS |
| ALO | A transplant center must establish and implement written policies to address and document adverse events that occur during any phase of an organ transplantation case. The policies must address, at a minimum, the process for the identification, reporting, analysis, and prevention of adverse events. This Standard is not met as evidenced by: Based on policy review and staff interview, it was determined that the ALO program's staff did not have a written transplant specific Adverse Event policy to address the process for the identification, reporting, analysis and prevention of adverse events. Findings: 1. A review on 10/03/16 of the ALO program's policies, "XYZ Hospital Transplant Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) Program' dated 2/14, "Transplant Patient Safety Events Policy' dated 6/2014, and hospital policies, "Quality Assessment/Performance Improvement/Patient Safety Plan 2015" dated 01/14, "Safety Event Guidelines (Never Events)" dated 5/14, and "Report of Unusual Occurrence/Event Reporting" revised 2/14 revealed no evidence of written documentation of the following: • A process for identification and screening of actual or potential transplant adverse events • The required time frame for reporting, investigating, and analyzing transplant adverse events • Who was responsible for conducting investigation of transplant adverse events |

Example 2: Regulatory Reference

X 102

АНО



- Regulatory tag reference
- Program affected -
- Regulatory language
- "Not met" statement followed by specific deficiency

482.96(b)(1) ADVERSE EVENTS

A transplant center must establish and implement written policies to address and document adverse events that occur during any phase of an organ transplantation case. The policies must address, at a minimum, the process for the identification, reporting, analysis, and prevention of adverse events.

This Standard is not met as evidenced by:

Example 2: Deficient Practice Statement





This Standard is not met as evidenced by:

Based on policy review and staff interview, it was determined that the AHO program's staff did not have a written transplant specific Adverse Event policy to address the process for the identification, reporting, analysis and prevention of adverse events.

Findings:

Example 2: Deficient Practice Statement



 "not met as evidenced X 102 by"
 ALO AHO

This Standard is not met as evidenced by:

Based on policy review and staff interview, it was determined that the ALO and AHO program's staff did not have a written transplant specific Adverse Event policy to address the process for the identification, reporting, analysis and prevention of adverse events.

Findings:

Example 2: Relevant Findings or Evidence



• "not met by"

X 102 Findings:

- ALO
 1. A review on 10/03/16 of the ALO program's policies, "XYZ Hospital Transplant Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) Program" dated 2/14, "Transplant Patient Safety Events Policy" dated 6/2014, and hospital policies, "Quality Assessment/Performance Improvement/Patient Safety Plan 2015" dated 01/14, "Safety Event Guidelines (Never Events)" dated 5/14, and "Report of Unusual Occurrence /Event Reporting" revised 2/14 showed <u>no evidence of written documentation of the following:</u>
 - A process for identification and screening of actual or potential transplant adverse events
 - The required timeframe for reporting, investigating, and analyzing transplant adverse events
 - Who was responsible for conducting investigation of transplant adverse events

Example 2: What is the Compliance Issue?



- Look for the specific findings
- Identify the deficiency within each finding
- Make a list of what needs to be addressed
- Create the action plan
- Document it in the plan of correction including
 - <u>What</u> (identify specific issue, what needs to change, educate staff about change),
 - <u>Who</u> (responsible person)
 - When (implementation date),
 - $-\underline{How}$ monitored

Example 2: Address each one...



- Policy "fix" [revision]
 - Identification/screening of actual, potential transplant adverse events
 - Required timeframe for reporting, investigating, and analyzing
 - Who is responsible for conducting investigation (person not group)
- Approval
- Education to changes (all staff and team members)
- How this will be monitored to ensure systemic "fix"

Findings:

Χ

- 102showed no evidence of written documentation of the
- ALO following:
 - A process for identification and screening of actual or potential transplant adverse events
 - The required timeframe for reporting, investigating, and analyzing transplant adverse events
 - Who was responsible for conducting investigation of transplant adverse events

Critical First Steps



- Relate specific actions to the same identifiers as used in the Form CMS-2567
- Address only what is in each citation
- What is the fundamental issue that was cited?
- Is it "what IS being done" or is it "what IS NOT being done"?
- Is the deficiency a matter of policy/procedure, implementation, or both?



Step 1:

Clearly address the problem or weakness, including the root cause

- Consider the Problem:
 - What <u>is happening</u>, what is the effect?
- What <u>should</u> be happening?
- How can it be fixed?
 - Individuals affected?
 - Processes affected?
 - Systems affected?



Create simple, measurable solutions that address the root cause

- What are the regulatory requirements?
- How will this be accomplished?

For Documents:

- Address names of policies/procedures, etc.
- Identify the specific changes, content that will be revised

For Training & Education:

- Address who, when, and how: content, how it will be done in the future if ongoing
- How will the program make sure everyone gets the information (staff, providers)?



Step 3:

Each corrective action should have a person that is accountable for it (title not name), individual responsible for corrective action and frequency of monitoring

Step 4:

Set achievable deadlines

- What is a reasonable time frame to develop, revise, approve, educate/train, and implement?
- <u>(Correct within 45 days: revisit for condition-level findings would</u> <u>be between 60-90 days of initial letter identifying findings</u>)



Step 5:

Monitor the progress of your plan

- How will you measure success?
- Reported to whom, how often?
- Consider how this information might integrate with existing hospital reports (or those of other organ programs within your hospital)

Monitoring: Required



Considerations for monitoring implementation and compliance:

- Method (Audit, measurement, other)
- Definition of the measure
- What (population), how many (sample size), when/how often
- Who is responsible for the data: measurement, analysis
- Where it will be reported, when/frequency
- How monitoring is integrated into the transplant program





PoC Response Examples

- Practice change was implemented immediately post survey
- Policy was revised to reflect change in practice
- Compliance monitoring to be reported monthly at transplant program specific QAPI meeting.

Better Responses Would Be...

- Specify what the practice change was. Identify specific date "when" implemented
- Name the policy. When was it approved (date), by whom?
- How were all staff and other team members educated to changes? When (date)?
- What is actually being monitored as "compliant," what is the population, sample size, target goal? Will information be integrated with reports to hospital QAPI as well?
- Who is responsible for oversight and/or monitoring of the action items?

On-site Correction of Deficiency



- If deficiency corrected while on-site, the citation must still be documented on the Form CMS-2567 as "NOT MET"
- The PoC must still address it:
 - the systems fix
 - the date of correction
 - monitoring
 - person responsible, etc.

The PoC: Acceptable vs. Unacceptable



- Corrective action plan/completion dates must be acceptable to all reviewers
- CMS will notify program: acceptable or unacceptable
- If PoC not acceptable:
 - facility will be contacted for clarifications and modifications
 - Acceptable PoC must be submitted and correction of all deficiencies made prior to any revisit or termination date
 - The program must be aware of deadlines and contact the CMS RO for any questions regarding their PoC
The PoC Resolution Process -Think Quality Cycle



- Define opportunity
- Investigate the cause
- Identify the solution
- [Verify the solution]



- Plan and educate to the solution
- Implement the solution
- Evaluate effectiveness



[Citation issue here]

Action/Implementation (what, by whom/when)

Education (what, by whom/when)

Monitoring (what, by whom/when)

Example 4: Generic Findings X099



Findings:

1. A review on ______of [program type] program's QAPI documents, "Transplant Quality Assurance and Performance Improvement (QAPI) Policy", dated ______, supporting documents, emails, personal notes, minutes, QAPI reports, and dashboard data from 2013 to present revealed the documentation did not reflect an active, implemented, comprehensive data-driven QAPI program. The XYZ program's staff was not currently functioning as addressed in the Transplant and Hospital QAPI Plans i.e., committee structure, documentation of meetings, oversight of PI initiatives, objective measures data review, analysis/actions taken in response, and communication of performance improvement activities. The XYZ program's staff was not integrated with the hospital quality program as described in its policies. This determination was based on, but not limited to, the following factors:

Example 4: X099 Generic Findings



Findings:

- <u>The QAPI program structure described in the plan was</u> <u>not the current structure</u> used for meeting and reporting QAPI information.
- The plan <u>did not identify title, role or responsibilities of</u> <u>QAPI team.</u>
- The plan <u>did not identify when or how often transplant</u> program performance would be reviewed and reported to the Transplant QAPI Committee or the hospital-wide QAPI program.
- Objective process and outcome measures were not identified for all phases of transplantation.

Example 4: X099 Developing the Plan of Correction

What/where were the deficiencies?

QAPI Structure

Membership

Meeting frequency and reporting

Objective Measures

<u>Actions Needed</u>: Revise existing Transplant QAPI Policy/Plan to reflect the following:

- QAPI Structure
- QAPI team members, roles, responsibilities
- Meetings
- Reporting

Add specifics here

Education and Communication about Plan:

[leaders, team, staff]

Implementation*: [within 45 days,]

<u>Monitoring</u>*: [identify how you will know this is fixed]

*Address the title of staff responsible/accountable



The next few slides are examples of common citations from the field...



Action/Implementation (What, Who, When)



The plan of correcting the specific deficiency.
 The plan should address the processes that lead to the deficiency cited;

□ The procedure for implementing the acceptable plan of correction for the specific deficiency cited;

□ The monitoring procedure to ensure that the plan of correction is effective and that specific deficiency cited remains corrected and/or in compliance with the regulatory requirements;

□ The title of the person responsible for implementing the acceptable plan of correction.

Example 5: Tag X101



Based on program document review and staff interviews, it was determined that the [organ] program's staff was not ensuring that improvements were sustained by establishing a process to track performance improvements.

Findings:

 A review on 12/16/14 of [organ] program's documents of four major performance improvement initiatives identified by staff; 1) Evaluation to listing, 2) Organ offer declinations, 3) Immunosuppression therapy, and
 A) Missed appointments, showed there was no evidence of how/why issues were identified, baseline data collection, analysis of data, actions taken in response, or monitoring of improvement. There was no evidence of quality improvement activity reported to any transplant committee, hospital quality/safety committees, or the governing board.

Example 5: Tag X101



Fundamental issue: QAPI Activity Documentation

- Evidence of QAPI cycle (how/why identified, data collection, analysis, actions taken in response, monitoring/ evaluation)
- Communicate
 performance

Action/Implementation (What, Who, When)

- Revise transplant QAPI plan to include addendum/tool to report PI projects to reflect how/why issues were identified, baseline data collection, analysis of data, actions taken in response, and monitoring of improvement (by whom/date)
- Implemented standardized Transplant QAPI Committee agenda to include "QAPI Activities Report" at each quarterly meeting. (by whom/ date)
- Transplant QAPI minutes will reflect the QAPI activity documentation including any recommendations (by whom/date)
- [organ] transplant reports to hospital quality committee and governing board will include QAPI activity documentation a minimum of annually (by whom/date)

Example 5: Tag X101



Fundamental issue:

QAPI Activity Documentation

- Evidence of QAPI cycle (how/why identified, data collection, analysis, actions taken in response, monitoring/ evaluation)
- Communicate performance

Education (What, Who, When)

- Re-educate transplant program leaders, QAPI personnel and clinical staff on revised TQAPI plan, tools
- (Transplant QAPI Manager/date)

Monitoring (What, Who, When)

- Quarterly audit of transplant QAPI minutes X 4 to ensure new process for reporting is consistent and corrective action is sustained.
- Compliance reported at TQAPI meetings and documented in minutes.
- (Transplant QAPI manager/date)

Example 6: Tag X103



Based on policy review, document review, and staff interview, it was determined that the [organ] program's staff did not address a process for a thorough analysis of an adverse event.

Findings:

1. A review on ______ of the [organ] program's document, "Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Plan: Comprehensive Transplant Center" dated _____ did not include a process to conduct a thorough analysis of adverse events that may have occurred during any phase of organ transplant. An investigation and analysis process was not defined in any other policies provided for review.

Example 6: Tag X103



Fundamental issue: Thorough analysis process

Action/Implementation (What, Who, When)

- Revise transplant QAPI plan to include description of thorough analysis process for transplant-specific adverse events. The revised plan will be approved by the transplant QAPI committee, hospital QAPI committee and the governing body (by whom/date)
- The transplant quality manager will ensure the thorough analysis is performed within a defined timeframe and will maintain documentation of all analyses in progress and completed (date)
- Implemented a standardized transplant QAPI agenda including "Adverse Events." Transplantspecific adverse events will be monitored and discussed at Transplant Committee and incorporated into the transplant QAPI dashboard (by whom/date)

Example 6: Tag X103



Fundamental issue: Thorough analysis process

Education (What, Who, When)

Re-educate transplant program leaders, QAPI personnel and clinical staff on thorough analysis process. Process will be included in annual transplant update for staff on an ongoing basis (Transplant QAPI Manager/date)

Monitoring (What, Who, When)

Created checklist of thorough analysis process steps to accompany each investigation performed. Audit and compliance report of each checklist by Transplant QAPI manager on quarterly basis X four quarters. (Transplant QAPI manager/date)

Additional Thoughts...



- Each deficiency must have its own PoC
- Action items cannot be referenced from one citation to another
- The response to each finding must "stand on its own"
- Put the response item on the same page as the citation tag - if it goes longer than the page, clearly note the tag number on each page

Summary: Some "Do's"



- Address the underlying systems issue for the citation
- Make sure you educate...
- Make sure you identify deadlines and staff responsible for oversight
- Make sure you have a monitoring plan
- Meet all deadlines noted in the cover letter
- Contact the CMS Regional Office with any questions

Summary: Some "Don'ts"



- Don't use names of individuals
- Don't write the PoC without leadership involvement – they are ultimately responsible for the compliance plan
- Don't make the time deadlines too soon make sure they can be met
- Do not make the PoC too complicated, or unrealistic

Publically Available Information



Hospital and Transplant Form CMS-2567 citations are found here:

 <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-</u> <u>Certification/CertificationandComplianc/Hospitals.html</u>

| EMTALA [PDF, 23KB] 💏 | |
|--|---------------|
| Patient's Rights Regulation published 12/8/2006 (PDF, 335 KB) [PDF, 334KB] 📆 | |
| Chapter 2 - The Certification Process [PDF, 1MB] 📩 | |
| Full Text Statements of Deficiencies Hospital Surveys - Updated 7/16/2015 [ZIP, 16 | <u>MB] 💭 </u> |
| ull Text Statements of Deficiencies Transplant Surveys - 7/16/2015 [ZIP, 1MB] 🗐 | |
| Related Links | |
| lospitals | |
| Survey & Certification - Enforcement | |
| Emergency Medical Treatment & Labor Act (EMTALA) | |
| | |
| Section 1867 of the Social Security Act | |
| Section 1867 of the Social Security Act Section 1861 of the Social Security Act | |
| | |









Michele G. Walton RN, BSN Nurse Consultant

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Center for Clinical Standards and Quality

Survey & Certification Group

Phone 410-786-3353

Email michele.walton@cms.hhs.gov