

Dual organ allocation implications: Older NASH patients, More CKD

Richard Formica Professor of Medicine and Surgery Yale University



FEBRUARY 25-27, 2016 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA











Simultaneous liver-kidney allocation policy: The problem, policy proposal rationale and future directions.

> Richard Formica Professor of Medicine and Surgery Yale University



FEBRUARY 25-27, 2016 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

- I have no relevant financial relationships to disclose.
- I am Chairman of the Simultaneous Liver-Kidney working group.



Continued Influence of Preoperative Renal Function on Outcome of Orthotopic Liver Transplant (OLTX) in the US: Where Will MELD Lead Us?



Patient Survival In Months following Liver Transplant



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION



AMERICAN Wolters Kluwer

OvidSP

Liver allograft survival and patient survival after combined liverkidney transplantation or liver transplant alone.



However in this study CLKT patients:

- 1. Had lower MELD scores
- 2. Had less severe liver disease
- 3. Received organs from younger donors
- 4. Had shorter cold and warm ischemia time

Combined Liver-Kidney Transplantation Is Preferable to Liver Transplant Alone for Cirrhotic Patients With Renal Failure.

Fong, Tse-Ling; Khemichian, Saro; Shah, Tariq; Hutchinson, Ian; Cho, Yong

Transplantation. 94(4):411-416, August 27, 2012. DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3182590d6b



Early onset of ESRD 0-6 months after liver transplantation





Late on onset of ESRD > 6 months after liver transplantation



Ajay Israni *AJT* – 2013



Predicting ESRD* after LI tx Israni, at al *Am J Transplant* 2013; *13: 1782–1792*



* Initiation of maintenance dialysis therapy, KI tx or listing for KI tx



Risk of End-Stage Renal Disease Among Liver Transplant Recipients With Pretransplant Renal Dysfunction



American Journal of Transplantation

Volume 12, Issue 11, pages 2958-2965, 3 JUL 2012 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04177.x http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04177.x/full#f2



% of SLK of all DD adult LI txs, 2002-2010



■% SLK • Match MELD (median)

MK Nadim, et al. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 2901





Number of SLK transplants by year

SLK transplants with other organs were excluded from the tabulation.





Excludes less than 1% of transplants with missing KDPI values



48.3% of Kidneys allocated to SLK have a KDPI < .35





Medical Eligibility Criteria



Veil of ignorance



"Original position"



American Journal of Transplantation 2016; 16: 758–766 Wiley Periodicals Inc. © Copyright 2015 The American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons

Special Article

doi: 10.1111/ajt.13631

Simultaneous Liver–Kidney Allocation Policy: A Proposal to Optimize Appropriate Utilization of Scarce Resources

R. N. Formica^{1,*}, M. Aeder², G. Boyle³, A. Kucheryavaya³, D. Stewart³, R. Hirose⁴ and D. Mulligan¹

¹Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT ²University Hospitals Case Medical Center, CWRU, Cleveland, OH

³United Network of Organ Sharing, Richmond, VA
⁴University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

*Corresponding author: Richard N. Formica, richard.formica@yale.edu

Introduction

The introduction of the Mayo End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score into the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) deceased donor liver allocation policy in 2002 (1) has led to a significant increase in the number of simultaneous liver-kidney (SLK) transplants in the United States (2) (Figure 1). Also contributing to the increasing trend is the fact that there are no medical criteria on which allocation of the kidney with a liver is based. This has resulted in heterogeneity in both numbers of SLK transplants performed in the different



Analyses are based on deceased donor SLK transplants performed during 2005-6/2013. SLK transplants with other organs were excluded from the tabulation.





Analyses are based on deceased donor SLK transplants performed during 2005-6/2013. SLK transplants with other organs were excluded from the tabulation.



Renal failure:

Serum creatinine greater than 2.5 mg/dl
 More than eight weeks on dialysis



Crude survival advantage of receiving an SLK vs. liver alone







Cohort: recipients Mar 31, 2002 – Dec 21, 2012





Cohort: recipients Mar 31, 2002 – Dec 21, 2012



SLK Medical Eligibility Criteria

Transplant nephrologist must confirm candidate has <u>one</u> of the following:	And transplant hospital must report to UNOS and document <u>one</u> of the following in the medical record:
1. Chronic kidney disease with measured or calculated GFR less than or equal to 60 mL/min for greater than 90 consecutive days	 Dialysis for ESRD Most recent eGFR/CrCl is at or below 30 mL/min at or after registration on kidney waiting list
2. Sustained acute kidney injury	 One or a combination of both of the following in the past six weeks: Dialysis for six consecutive weeks eGFR/CrCl at or below 25 mL/min for six consecutive weeks. The program must confirm criteria continues to be met at least once every seven days.
3. Metabolic disease	 Diagnosis of: Hyperoxaluria Atypical HUS from mutations in factor H or factor I Familial non-neuropathic systemic amyloid Methylmalonic aciduria
SOCIETY OF	 Familial non-neuropathic systemic amyloid Methylmalonic aciduria



Safety Net







Analyses are based on registrations added to the kidney alone waiting list for the first time during 2005-6/2013 after a liver alone transplant that was still functioning at the time of the subsequent kidney listing.



Kidney listings after liver transplants (2005-6/2013) *Time from liver txp to kidney listings by dialysis prior to liver transplant (years)*



Analyses are based on registrations added to the kidney alone waiting list for the first time during 2005-6/2013 after a liver alone transplant that was still functioning at the time of the subsequent kidney listing.



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION

Kidney transplants after liver transplants (2005-6/2013) by kidney donor type



Analyses are based on first deceased and living donor kidney alone transplants that occurred during 2005-6/2013 and followed a liver alone transplant that was still functioning at the time of the subsequent kidney transplant.



Kidney transplants after liver transplants (2005-6/2013) *Time from liver transplants to subsequent kidney transplants by donor type (years)*



Analyses are based on first deceased and living donor kidney alone transplants that occurred during 2005-6/2013 and followed a liver alone transplant that was still functioning at the time of the subsequent kidney transplant.







Proposed "Safety Net" Eligibility

- Candidates who are on the kidney waiting list and have eGFR/CrCl at or below 20 mL/min or are on dialysis in the 60-365 days after liver transplant will be eligible to appear in this new kidney allocation match classification.
- To continue to be eligible, the transplant program must report at least once every 30 days that this medical criteria continues to be met. Once this has been confirmed for three consecutive periods, the candidate will be eligible indefinitely.



Sequence A KDPI <=20%

Highly Sensitized 0-ABDRmm Prior living donor Local pediatrics Local top 20% EPTS 0-ABDRmm (all) Local (all) Regional pediatrics Regional (top 20%) Regional (all) National pediatrics National (top 20%) National (all) Sequence B KDPI >20% but <35%

Highly Sensitized 0-ABDRmm Prior living donor Local pediatrics *Local safety net*

Local adults Regional pediatrics Regional adults National pediatrics National adults Sequence C KDPI >=35% but <=85% Highly Sensitized 0-ABDRmm Prior living donor Local safety net

Local Regional National Sequence D KDPI>85%

Highly Sensitized 0-ABDRmm *Local safety net* Local + Regional National

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION



Extra slides



SLK recipients (2005-6/2013)

KDPI distribution



Analyses are based on deceased donor SLK transplants performed during 2005-6/2013. SLK transplants with other organs were excluded from the tabulation.



KPDI distribution for deceased donors recovered (12/04/14-05/31/15)





SLK transplants post KAS by sharing type (12/04/14-09/30/15)





25th percentiles of times to deceased donor kidney alone transplant for registrations added with and without a previous liver transplant (2003-2008)



Analyses are based on registrations added to the wait list during 2003-2008 with and without a previous liver transplant.





Lazo M & Clark JM, Semin Liver Dis 2008;(28)4



Risk factors

Conditions with established association	Conditions with emerging association*		
Obesity	Polycystic ovary syndrome		
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	Hypothyroidism		
Dyslipidemia	Obstructive Sleep apnea		
Metabolic syndrome**	Hypopituitarism		
	Hypogonadism		
	Pancreato-duodenal resection		

Table 4. Risk Factors Associated with NAFLD

*Few studies suggested that individuals with type1 diabetes have increased prevalence of hepatic steatosis based on liver imaging, but there is limited histological evidence.

**The Adult Treatment Panel III clinical definition of the metabolic syndrome requires the presence of three or more of the following features: (1) waist circumference greater than 102 cm in men or greater than 88 cm in women; (2) triglyceride level 150 mg/dL or greater; (3) high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol level less than 40 mg/dL in men and less than 50 mg/dL in women; (4) systolic blood pressure 130 mm Hg or greater or diastolic pressure 85 mm Hg or greater; and (5) fasting plasma glucose level 110 mg/dL or greater.¹⁹⁹

AASLD Guidelines 2014









Larger volume of distribution

Decrease production

Increased secretion

J Levitsky & J O'Leary AJT in submission.



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION

Nephron 16: 31-41 (1976)

Prediction of Creatinine Clearance from Serum Creatinine¹

DONALD W. COCKCROFT and M. HENRY GAULT

Departments of Medicine, Queen Mary Veterans' Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, and Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundland



Age range years	Mean age years	n	Mean S _{er} mg/100 ml	Mean C _{er} ml/min	Mean Cr excretion mg/kg/24 h and SD
18-29	24.6	22	0.99	114.9	23.6 ± 5.0
30-39	34.6	21	1.08	98.6	$\textbf{20.4} \pm \textbf{5.1}$
40-49	46.2	28	1.17	95.4	19.2 ± 5.8
50-59	54.4	66	1.49	77.9	16.9 ± 4.6
60-69	64.6	53	1.39	57.6	15.2 ± 4.0
70-79	74.4	42	1.78	38.6	12.6 ± 3.5
80-92	85.1	17	1.39	37.4	12.1 ± 4.1

Table II. Age, renal function and creatinine excretion in 249 patients

Cockcroft-Gault 1976





Fig. 1. Creatinine excretion. • = SIERSBÆK-NIELSEN *et al.* [5], 149 males, age 20–99 years; \circ = present study, 249 males, age 18–92 years.

Cockcroft-Gault 1976



AGE CHANGES IN GLOMERULAR FILTRATION RATE, EFFEC-TIVE RENAL PLASMA FLOW, AND TUBULAR EXCRETORY CAPACITY IN ADULT MALES

BY DEAN F. DAVIES 1 AND NATHAN W. SHOCK

(From the National Heart Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland; and the Gerontology Section, Baltimore City Hospitals, Baltimore)

(Submitted for publication October 25, 1949; accepted, December 28, 1949)





Fig. 12. Average Change in Standard Inulin Clearance or Glomerular Filtration Rate with Age, cc. Plasma/min./1.73 sq.m. Body Surface Area

b. Average Change in Standard Diodrast Clearance or Effective Renal Plasma Flow with Age, cc. Plasma/min./1.73 sq.m. Body Surface Area

In all figures the vertical lines represent ± 1 standard deviation of the mean; the circles represent ± 1 standard deviation of the distribution.

Davies and Shock 1949

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION

Journal of Gerontology 1976, Vol. 31, No. 2, 155-163

The Effect of Age on Creatinine Clearance in Men: A Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Study¹

John W. Rowe, MD, Reubin Andres, MD, Jordan D. Tobin, MD, Arthur H. Norris, MS, and Nathan W. Shock, PhD²





Fig. 2. Cross-sectional differences in standard creatinine clearance with age. The number of subjects in each age group is indicated above the abscissa. Values plotted indicate mean \pm S.E.M.

Shock 1976



Unpredictability of Clinical Evaluation of Renal Function in Cirrhosis

Prospective Study

MAXINE A. PAPADAKIS, M.D. ALLEN I. ARIEFF, M.D. San Francisco, California

American Journal of Medicine 1987



in Group III Cirrhotic Patients					
Patient Number	Glomerular Filtration Rate (ml/minute)	Method (type of clearance)	Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)	Interval (days)	Remarks
1	12 0	Inulin	1.1	1 320	Death from subarach- noid bleed- ing, coagu- lopathy
2	17 14	Inulin Inulin	1.0 0.9	1 8	
3	30 106	inulin Inulin	1.0 1.0	1 23	
4	7 51 51	Inulin Inulin Creatinine	1.0 1.1 2.0	1 41 562	After LeVeen shunt
5	25 34 31	Inulin Inulin Inulin	1.4 1.2 1.2	1 12 22	
6	23 10 15 18 0	Inulin Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine	1.3 2.3 2.2 2.1	1 4 5 8	Death from hemorrhage, enceph- alopathy
7	36 37 23 62 30 19 0	Inulin Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine	1.4 1.5 1.5 1.1 1.7 2.6	1 8 27 90 147 223 224	Death from encepha- lopathy
8	32 25 22 19 19 8 5 0	Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine Inulin Creatinine Creatinine	1.5 1.9 2.2 2.5 2.0 4.9 4.7	1 9 27 104 162 189 197	Death from hepatorenal syndrome
9	50 41 14 15 14 6 0	Creatinine Creatinine Inulin Inulin Creatinine Inulin	1.5 1.9 2.2 2.2 2.7 2.8	1 320 343 353 410 589 628	Death from encepha- lopathy
10	38 28 81	Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine	1.1 2.0 0.6	1 9 20	After LeVeen shunt
11	59 39 40 4 0	Inulin Creatinine Creatinine Creatinine	1.4 2.9 2.1 4.3	1 5 7 9 11	Death from hemorrhage, enceph- alopathy

otive Evoluation of Renal



Medical Eligibility Criteria







AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRANSPLANTATION